

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Fatent and Fragemark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,021	11/04/2003	Rex Vandenberg	3340	6501
7590 07/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
Chase Law Firm LC 4400 College Boulevard			FOX, CHARLES A	
Suite 130	oulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Overland Park, KS 66211			. 3652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	M	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/701,021	VANDENBERG, REX				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit.				
	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma I. I reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
	This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b the drawing(s) be held in abe rrection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2.☒ Certified copies of the priority docum 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Hents have been received in Priority documents have be Breau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No. <u>09/515,912</u> . een received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	·					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bay-Schmith. Regarding claim 1 Bay-Schmith US 4,091,943 disclose a bale loading arm for use with a bale carrier comprising:

a loading frame (11) having proximal and distal ends, with said proximal end connected to said bale carrier;

a pivot (20) at said proximal end with said pivot being substantially parallel with a longitudinal axis of said carrier;

a means (21) for pivoting said frame;

a pivot member (14) disposed at the distal end of said frame;

a means (18) for rotating said pivot member, wherein said pivot member is substantially parallel with the pivot axis of the frame member;

a bale grasper opening in a direction parallel with the longitudinal axis of the bale carrier:

wherein the grasper member is attached to the pivot member and rotatable about an axis perpendicular to said pivot member pivot axis;

means (16) for rotating the grasper member.

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claim 10 Bay-Schmith discloses a loading arm for a bale carrier comprising:

a first member (11) having a proximal end and a distal end;

said proximal end being connected to said bale carrier via a pivot point that is substantially parallel with the longitudinal axis of said bale carrier;

means for pivoting the first member;

a second member (14) pivotally attached to the distal end of said first member; said second member pivoting about an axis parallel to said first member pivot axis;

means (18) for rotating said second member;

bale grasping means attached to said second member;

said bale grasping means opening on a direction parallel to said bale carriers longitudinal axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eichenberger in view of Warburton et al. Regarding claims 1 and 10 Eichenberger US 4,459,075 teaches a bale carrier with a loading arm comprising:

a frame member (32) with a proximal and a distal end;

Art Unit: 3652

said frame member pivoting about said proximal end;

means (35) for rotating said frame member;

a second member (33a-e) pivotally attached to said frame member;

a means (36) for pivoting said second member;

grasping means (26,27) connected to said second member;

said grasping means rotating about an axis that is parallel to said longitudinal axis of said bale carrier. Eichenberger further teach other undisclosed attachment schemes where the arm may be mounted for reorientation of the bales, they do not teach the grasping means as pivoting about an axis perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bale carrier.

Warburton et al. US 5,288,193 teaches a bale carrier with an articulated arm comprising:

a first arm (32) pivotally attached to the bale carrier;

a grasper for picking up hay bales;

wherein said grasper pivots about an axis (54) that is perpendicular to the bed of said bale carriers longitudinal axis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to pivot the grasper taught by Eichenberger as taught by Warburton et al. in order to easily reposition the bales being loaded onto the bale carrier.

Regarding claims 2 and 11 Eichenberger further teaches that the means for grasping the bale is a pair of paddles (26,27) with an open position and a closed bale grasping position and a means (41) for moving one of said paddles.

Regarding claims 3 and 12 Eichenberger also teaches that said paddles extend in a manner wherein the bale carrier may be moved forward to position a bale within the grasping device.

Regarding claims 4 and 13 Eichenberger further teaches that the means for moving the paddle is a hydraulic cylinder.

Regarding claims 5,7,14 and 16 Eichenberger also teach the first member (32) is moved by a hydraulic cylinder (35) via a lever arm (32b), and said second member (33a-e) is moved by a hydraulic cylinder (36) connected to a lever arm.

Regarding claims 9 and 18 Eichenberger also teaches moving the grasping means via a hydraulic cylinder (41) and an attached lever arm (42).

Claims 6,8,15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eichenberger and Warburton et al. as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Van Dusen. Eichenberger and Warburton et al. teach the limitations of claims 1 and 10 as above they do not teach the device as using a motor and chain actuation system. Van Dusen US 4,242,028 teaches an actuation system for rotating an object about a pivot point comprising an orbit motor with a chain (66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to rotate the system taught by Eichenberger and Warburton et al. with an electric motor as taught by Van Dusen as it may not be practical to provide hydraulics on all bale carriers whereas electrical power is a given on most if not all types of vehicles that can be used to pull the bale carrier.

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham in view of Eichenberger in further view of Warburton et al. Graham US 5,899,652 teaches a bale carrier comprising:

a front and a back longitudinally opposed ends;

a pivot deck (25) pivotally engaged with the rear end of said carrier;

a means for pivoting said deck from a substantially coplanar position to a perpendicular position relative to said carrier;

bale retaining members (66) mounted on said deck;

a means (44) for moving bales along said retaining means;

means (34) for moving bales along both the carrier and the length of the pivot deck;

a loading means for placing bales upon the carrier. Graham does not teach the means for moving the bales as being a chain drive or a multiple frame system for the loading arm.

Eichenberger teaches a bale carrier with a loading arm comprising:

a frame member (32) with a proximal and a distal end;

said frame member pivoting about said proximal end;

means (35) for rotating said frame member;

a second member (33a-e) pivotally attached to said frame member;

a means (36) for pivoting said second member;

grasping means (26,27) connected to said second member;

Art Unit: 3652

said grasping means rotating about an axis that is parallel to said longitudinal axis of said bale carrier;

a chain (15) with an associated drive mechanism to move bales along the carrier. Eichenberger further teach other undisclosed attachment schemes where the arm may be mounted for reorientation of the bales, they do not teach the grasping means as pivoting about an axis perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bale carrier.

Warburton et al. teaches a bale carrier with an articulated arm comprising:

a first arm (32) pivotally attached to the bale carrier;

a grasper for picking up hay bales;

wherein said grasper pivots about an axis (54) that is perpendicular to the bed of said bale carriers longitudinal axis;

a chain system for moving bales along the longitudinal axis of the bale carrier. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the carrier taught by Graham with a lifting arm as taught by Eichenberger in order to place the bales as desired on the carrier and to pivot the grasper as taught by Warburton et al. in order to easily reposition the bales being loaded onto the bale carrier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for

Art Unit: 3652

Page 8

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 up to

September 15, 2005, and also 571-273-8300 starting on July 15, 2005.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EILEEN D. LILLIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

CAF

CAF

7-8-05